

## **DEVIATION APPROVAL FORM**

Procedure Number:	CR 920 Bridge Clearance		Revision:		
Procedure Name:	Exhibit D-4A Bridge Clearance		Date Requested:	3/06/2014	
Corridor/Project Name:	Chisholm Trail/Segment 6		Project Number:	02947- SWP-03- DB-PM	
Requestor Name:	Greg Vowels	Organization Name:	Granite Construction		
Original	Fyhibit D.AA SH 121 SWD/CTD Coomate	io Design Critario	From FM 1197 to III	C 67 states	
Requirement:	Exhibit D-4A SH 121 SWP/CTP Geometric Design Criteria From FM 1187 to US 67 states:  16'-6" Minimum Vertical Clearance over County Roads				
Proposed Change:	Exhibit D-4A SH 121 SWP/CTP Geometric Design Criteria From FM 1187 to US 67:  16'-4" Minimum Vertical Clearance over County Road 920				
Justification:	The clearance at CR 920 was calculated to be 16.72 ft., but the actual measured clearance for this overpass is 16.37 ft. The Chisholm Trail Parkway contract with NTTA requires a clearance of 16.50 ft. The reason for this discrepancy has to do with the cross slope of the reconstruction of CR 920. The existing condition of CR 920 consisted of 2-10 ft. lanes of roadway with a crowned section (the approximate cross slopes of which was 1%), with the Profile Grade Line at the Centerline of the roadway. Projecting this out to the ultimate 16 ft. section (12 ft. lane and a 4 ft. shoulder) the shoulder would sit 0.16 ft. lower than the PGL. This is the elevation upon which bridge clearance calculation was incorrectly based. This section of roadway was designed to provide a 1% reverse crown for CR 920 (or a positive slope), raising the grade 0.16 ft. higher than the PGL. The combination of this results in a clearance difference of 0.32 ft. The reverse crown was introduced into the design to provide a smoother transition from CR 920 onto the ramps north of CR 920.  The vertical clearances shown in the Technical Provisions were derived from chapters 3 and 6 of the Roadway Design Manual (RDM). The first is RDM, Chapter 3, Section 4 Two-Lane Rural Highways, Table 3-7, which calls for "Vertical Clearance, New structures to be 16.5 ft." but contains a footnote that specifies, "Exceptional cases near as practical to 16.5 ft. but never less than 14.5 ft." The next is RDM, Chapter 3, Section 6 Freeways, which states "All controlled access highway grade separation structures, including railroad underpasses should provide 16.5 ft. minimum vertical clearance over the usable roadway." It also goes on to state "The above-specified clearance apply over the entire width of roadway including usable shoulders and include an allowance of 6 inches for future pavement overlays." It is shown in the RDM that 16.5 ft. is the minimum vertical clearance, but clearances as low as 14.5 ft. are acceptable on two-lane rural highways such as CR 920. I				



## **DEVIATION APPROVAL FORM**

NORTH TEXAS TOLLWAY AUTHORITY	DEVIA	ION APPROVAL FO	LZIAI			
Consequences if	To achieve a clearance of 16.5 ft., the cross slope of CR 920 will need to be adjusted					
not implemented:	Currently, CR 920 contains a 1% reverse crown cross slope from east of the					
	northbound ramps to west of the southbound ramps. This will require transitioning					
	from a 1% reverse crown section to a 1% normal crown section under the northbound					
	bridge. This will involve a full depth pavement adjustment, because the pavement					
	design specifies 3 inches of HMAC, and that is the section that exists in this area					
	today. This will require removing the base material and excavating to obtain the full					
	depth of the pavement design. The ride quality will suffer due to the pavement					
	transitions. Moving in a westerly direction, the driver will transition from the normal					
	crown of the existing roadway, to the 1% reverse crown section of Ramp N2, to					
	normal crown section under the newly constructed bridge, to the reverse crown					
· •	section of Ramp S2 to the normal crowned section of the existing roadway. All of these transitions will occur within the span of 700 ft.					
A	these transitions w	in occur within the span of 700 π.				
Approval Signatures:	T_ :		······································			
Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date			
Requestor	Greg Vowels					
Corridor/Project Manger	Kevin Reilly					
Design Manager	Kelly Johnson					
PD Contract Manager	Wallace Heimer					
Director of Project Delivery	Elizabeth Mow					

Release Date: 07/26/2011